

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,521	11/19/2003	Yutaka Sato	520.43271X00	4687	
24956 MATTINGLY	7590 09/05/200 , STANGER, MALUR		EXAM	IINER	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			SYED, FA	SYED, FARHAN M	
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) SATO ET AL. 10/715,521

,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FARHAN M. SYED	2165	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>FARHAN M. SYED</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Donna K. Mason (Reg. No. 45,962)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 09 May 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,7 and 10</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Enomoto (U.S. Patent Fover Multiple Channels as Broadcast Servers,</u> ".	Pub. 2003/0076781), Yee, et a	al., "Efficient Data	a Allocation
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The Applicant indicated to claim limitation with respect to the arguments presented. Treflect the arguments presented and therefore, the Examinargument by performing a further search. No agreement was proposed amendments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co	that an amendment would be in that is, the Applicant would am er would further consider the as reached as to whether the ments which the examiner ag opy of the amendments that v	made to further control of the claim line amendments in line orior art would on the claim with the claim art would on the claim art would render the claim are would render the claim are claim.	larify the nitations to ight of the vercome the er the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBS	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO